

MINUTE ENTRY FOR CRIMINAL PROCEEDING

| BEFORE MAG. JUDGE Vera M. Scanlon | DATE: 11/9/22 | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--|--|
| DOCKET NUMBER: 22CR500(NM) | Log #: 3:44- 3:58 | | |
| DEFENDANT'S NAME : Andrew Pagan Present Not | | | |
| DEFENSE COUNSEL: Karvne J Federal Defender | ames | | |
| ✓ Federal Defender | CJA Retained | | |
| A.U.S.A: John Enright | CLERK: Felix Chin | | |
| INTERPRETER: | | | |
| ✓ Defendant arraigned on the : ✓ indictment | | | |
| ✓ Defendant pleads NOT GUILTY to ALL counts | | | |
| DETENTION HEARING Held Defer | ndant's first appearance. | | |
| Bond set at Defendant released held pending satisfaction of bond conditions. Defendant advised of bond conditions set by the Court and signed the bond. | | | |
| Surety(ies) sworn, advised of bond obli | gations by the Court and signed the bond. | | |
| (Additional) surety/ies to co-sign bond by | | | |
| After hearing, Court orders detention in custody Leave to reopen granted | | | |
| Temporary Order of Detention Issued. Bail Hearing set for | | | |
| At this time, defense counsel states on the record that the defendant does not have a bail application / package. Order of detention entered with leave to reapply to a Magistrate or to the District Court Judge to whom the case will be assigned. | | | |
| ✓ Order of Excludable Delay/Speedy Trial entered | l. Start 11/9/22 Stop 12/9/22 | | |
| Rule 5f warnings given to the govt. | Medical memo issued. | | |
| Defendant failed to appear, bench warrant issu | | | |
| $\sqrt{}$ Status conference set for $12/9/22$ | 12:00 before Judge Morrison | | |
| Other Rulings: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

United States District Court EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

| Andrew Pagan | Case Number: 22 (R 500 (NM) | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| In accordance with the Bail Reform Act. 18 U.s require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this | S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts case. | | | |
| (1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe (State or local offense that would have been a that is | Part 1 - Findings of Fact ed in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) | | | |
| a felony that was committed after the defend 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compara | ce is life imprisonment or death. mprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offense described in able state or local offenses. | | | |
| (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1). (4) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding Nos.(1), (2) and (3) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. | | | | |
| (1) There is probable cause to believe that the del for which a maximum term of imprison under 18 U.S.C. §924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumptio | Alternative Findings (A) fendant has committed an offense ment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § n established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions defendant as required and the safety of the community. | | | |
| (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will | Alternative Findings (B) not appear. endanger the safety of another person or the community. | | | |
| Part II - Write I find that the credible testimony and information seconvincing evidence that no conditions will reasonably as defendant lacks substantial ties to the community defendant is not a U.S. citizen and an illegal aliest defendant has no stable history of employment. defendant presented no credible sureties to assure the bound of the defendant's family resides primarily in the defendant's family resides primarily in the defendant is committed to the custody of the Afacility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons a shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private controlled. | tten Statement of Reasons for Detention ubmitted at the hearing establishes by a preponderance of the evidence/clear and source defendant's appearance/the safety of the community because y. n. te his appearance. | | | |
| the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court Dated: , 20 2 2 Brooklyn, New York | proceeding. | | | |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | APPLICATION AND ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY |
| _v- | |
| | Case No. 22- CR - 500 |
| Andrew Pagan | |
| | |
| The United States of America and the defendant her November 9,2022 to December 9,2022 be excluded fr | reby jointly request that the time period from om the computation of the time period within which |
| an information or indictment must be filed, trial of the charges against defendant must | or (XW) commence. (XC) |
| The parties seek the exclusion of the foregoing period becau | se |
| case without trial, and they require an exclusion of time in or that they would not, despite their diligence, have reasonable they need additional time to prepare for tri | time for effective preparation for trial, |
| The defendant states that he/she has been fully advising the Sixth Amendment to the Constitution; the Speedy Trial Act this Court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of the understands that he/she has a right to be tried before a jury version of the court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of the understands that he/she has a right to be tried before a jury version of the court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of the understands that he/she has a right to be tried before a jury version of the court adopted pursuant to the constitution. | ne Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant |
| | ES |
| Defendant // | For U.S. Attorney, E.D.N.Y. |
| | Eric Silverberg |
| Counsel for Defendant | |
| | |
| The joint application of the United States of Ameri | ca and the defendant having been heard at a proceeding |
| on the date below, the time period from $11 9 22$ | |
| the time within which () an information or indictment mus | t be filed or () trial must commence. The Court finds |
| that this exclusion of time serves the ends of justice and out | |
| speedy trial for the reasons discussed on the record and beca | |
| | plea negotiations will result in a disposition of this case |
| without trial, the exclusion of time will allow all counsel to that they would be denied the reasonable time necessary for | focus their efforts on plea negotiations without the risk |
| exercise of due diligence. () it will enable the parties to exchange | ge discovery and explore plus Negotiables |
| SO ORDERED. | |
| Dated: Brooklyn, N.Y | |
| Dated: Brooklyn, N.Y | 16 Nhula |
| | United States Magistrate Judge |

Case 1:22-mc-03326-VMS Document 1 Filed 11/10/22 Page 4,

CJA-23 (Rev 3/21)

FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT
IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY, EXPERT, OR OTHER SERVICES WITHOUT PAYMENT OF FEE

| IN THE UNIT | ED STATES DIST | RICT COURT | □ OTHER (Specify Below) FOR LOCATION NUMBER | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| United State | es | v. Pagan | AT | |
| PERSON RE | EPRESENTED (Show your fu | ll name) | 17 Defendant Adult | |
| Andrew Pagan | | | 1 ☑ Defendant - Adult 2 ☐ Defendant - Juvenile 3 ☐ Appellant 4 ☐ Probation Violator DOCKET NUMBERS Magistrate Judge District Court | |
| CHARGE/OFFENSE (Describe if applicable & check box→) ☐ Felony | | ble & check box→) | 5 ☐ Supervised Release Violator 6 ☐ Habeas Petitioner Court of Appeals | |
| ☐ Misdemeanor 21 U.S.C. 841(a) and 841(b)(1)(A) | | | 7 \[2255 Petitioner \] 8 \[Material Witness \] 9 \[Other (Specify) \] | |
| | ANS | WERS TO QUESTIONS REGARDIN | G ABILITY TO PAY | |
| | | Do you have a job? ☐Yes ☑No | | |
| | EMPLOYMENT | The second product and the second sec | | |
| | | Will you still have a job after this arres | | |
| INCOME & ASSETS | PROPERTY CASH & BANK ACCOUNTS | Car/Truck/Vehicle \$ Boat \$ Stocks/bonds \$ Other property \$ | Vings or checking accounts? Yes No | |
| | | eople do you financially support? | _ | |
| OBLIGATION EXPENSES DEBTS | S, & | Housing Groceries Medical expenses Utilities Credit cards Car/Truck/Vehicle Childcare Child support Insurance Loans Fines Other MONTHLY EX MONTHLY EX | KPENSE TOTAL DEBT \$ | |
| I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. | | | | |
| | de . | | 11/9/2022 | |
| SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT Date (OR PERSON SEEKING REPRESENTATION) | | | | |



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-v-

22-CR-500 (NM)

ANDREW PAGAN,

Defendant(s).

<u>ORDER</u>

VERA M. SCANLON, United States Magistrate Judge:

This Order is entered, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5(f) and the Due Process Protections Act, Pub. L. No 116–182, 134 Stat. 894 (Oct. 21, 2020), to confirm the Government's disclosure obligations under *Brady* v. *Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, and to summarize the possible consequences of violating those obligations.

The Government must disclose to the defense all information "favorable to an accused" that is "material either to guilt or to punishment" and that is known to the Government. *Id.* at 87. This obligation applies regardless of whether the defendant requests this information or whether the information would itself constitute admissible evidence. The Government shall disclose such information to the defense promptly after its existence becomes known to the Government so that the defense may make effective use of the information in the preparation of its case.

As part of these obligations, the Government must disclose any information that can be used to impeach the trial testimony of a Government witness within the meaning of *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), and its progeny. Such information must be disclosed sufficiently in advance of trial in order for the defendant to make effective use of it at trial or at such other time as the Court may order.¹

¹ This Order does not purport to set forth an exhaustive list of the Government's disclosure obligations.

The foregoing obligations are continuing ones and apply to materials that become known to the Government in the future. These obligations also apply to information that is otherwise subject to disclosure regardless of whether the Government credits it.

In the event the Government believes that a disclosure under this Order would compromise witness safety, victim rights, national security, a sensitive law-enforcement technique, or any other substantial government interest, it may apply to the Court for a modification of its obligations, which may include in camera review or withholding or subjecting to a protective order all or part of the information otherwise subject to disclosure.²

For purposes of this Order, the Government has an affirmative obligation to seek all information subject to disclosure under this Order from all current or former federal, state, and local prosecutors, law enforcement officers, and other officers who have participated in the prosecution, or investigation that led to the prosecution, of the offense or offenses with which the defendant is charged.

If the Government fails to comply with this Order, the Court, in addition to ordering production of the information, may:

- (1) specify the terms and conditions of such production;
- (2) grant a continuance;
- (3) impose evidentiary sanctions;
- (4) impose contempt or other sanctions on any lawyer responsible for violations of the Government's disclosure obligations, or refer the matter to disciplinary authorities;
- (5) dismiss charges before trial or vacate a conviction after trial or a guilty plea; or
- (6) enter any other order that is just under the circumstances.

² The Classified Information Procedures Act sets forth separate procedures to be followed in the event that the Government believes matters relating to classified information may arise in connection with the prosecution. See 18 U.S.C. app. 3 §§ 1 et seq.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: NOVEMBER 9, 2022 BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Vera M. Scanlon

United States Magistrate Judge